	EXHIBIT 6			
1	PROPOSED FINAL APPROVAL ORDER			
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
10	EUGENE MANNACIO, on behalf of himself			
11	and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 3:22-cv-054	8-RSM	
12	Plaintiff,			
13	vs.			
14	SOVEREIGN LENDING GROUP			
15	INCORPORATED,			
16	Defendant.			
17	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER			
18				
19	On (month) (day), 2023, this Court heard the motion for final approval			
20	of the class action settlement and for entry of judgment filed by Plaintiff. ² This Court reviewed:			
21	(a) the motion and the supporting papers, including the Settlement Agreement and Release			
22	("Settlement Agreement"); (b) any objections filed with or presented to the Court; (c) the Parties'			
23	responses to any objections; and (d) counsel's arguments. Based on this review and the findings			
24	below, the Court found good cause to grant the motion.			
25				
26	2 Capitalized tarms in this Order values of home	iso defined have the se	uma dafinitions as these	
27	² Capitalized terms in this Order, unless otherwise defined, have the same definitions as those terms in the Settlement Agreement.			
	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT – 1		TURKE & STRAUSS LLP 613 Williamson St., Suite 201 Medican, Wicepasis 52703 3545	

Madison, Wisconsin 53703-3515 TEL. 608.237.1775 ◆ FAX 608.509.4423 www.turkestrauss.com

FINDINGS:

- 1. Upon review of the record, the Court hereby finds that the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore approves it. Among other matters considered, the Court took into account: (a) the complexity of Plaintiff's theory of liability; (b) the arguments raised by Sovereign Lending Group Incorporated ("Sovereign Lending") in its pleadings and throughout the litigation that could potentially preclude or reduce the recovery by Settlement Class Members; (c) delays in any award to the Settlement Class that would occur due to further litigation and appellate proceedings; (d) the amount of discovery that has occurred; (e) the relief provided to the Settlement Class; (f) the recommendation of the Settlement Agreement by counsel for the Parties; and (g) the low number of objectors to the Settlement Agreement, demonstrating that the Settlement Class has a positive reaction to the proposed settlement.
- 2. The Court also finds that extensive arm's-length negotiations have taken place, in good faith, between Settlement Class Counsel and Sovereign Lending's Counsel resulting in the Settlement Agreement. These negotiations were presided over by an experienced mediator.
- **3.** The Settlement Agreement provides substantial value to the Settlement Class in the form of cash payments.
- 4. Notice was provided to Class Members in compliance with Section 4 of the Settlement Agreement, due process, and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The notice: (i) fully and accurately informed Settlement Class Members about the lawsuit and settlement; (ii) provided sufficient information so that Settlement Class Members could decide whether to accept the benefits offered, opt-out and pursue their own remedies, or object to the settlement; (iii) provided procedures for Class Members to file written objections to the proposed settlement, to appear at the hearing, and to state objections to the proposed settlement; and (iv) provided the time, date, and place of the final fairness hearing.